

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/988,527	11/20/2001	Jean-Pierre Mao	034299-364	8860
Robert E. Krebs THELEN REID & PRIEST PO BOX 640640 SAN JOSE, CA 95164-0640			EXAMINER	
			SEFCHECK, GREGORY B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			<u> </u>	TATER HOMBER
			2616	
			•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		05/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/988,527	MAO, JEAN-PIERRE		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Gregory B. Sefcheck	2616		
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply but d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS late, cause the application to become ABAND	ION. se timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. rance except for formal matters,	•		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a deposition of the deposition of	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/988,527 Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's Amendment filed 2/21/2007 is acknowledged.

- Claims 1 and 2 have been amended.
- Claims 1-3 remain pending.

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities:

On the last two lines of Claim 1, the statement "allowing synchronizing the start and end of packets in relation to their transmission in the output message" should be rewritten as - - allowing synchronization of the start and end of packets.... - - or another grammatical-correct equivalent.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robins et al. (US006430184B1), hereafter Robins.

Art Unit: 2616

In regards to Claims 1 and 2,

Robins discloses a process and device for communicating data packet flows. including Asynchronous Transfer Mode (ATM; Abstract; Col. 1, line 27; claim 1,2 process/device for deterministic transmission of asynchronous data in packets).

Page 3

Referring to Figs. 1 and 2, data is received from the Quad PHY 1 physical interface at MOM 1 chip 10 (input module) and then stored in one of a plurality of FIFOs managed by Queue Manager 30 (QM; packeting module; Col. 5-6, lines 43-23; Col. 14, lines 15-28; claim 1,2 - receiving data at input module and storing in FIFOs connected to one or more packeting modules).

Robins further discloses Forwarding Engine 40 that provides instructions to the QM for packeting based upon received headers, which are added to the packets before transmitting them out so they may be recovered in their predefined order (sorting and enhancement data; Col. 7, lines 8-13; Col. 8, lines 8-57; claim 1,2 - packeting data from FIFOs in a first set of packets in a first packeting cycle according to a predetermined order with sorting and enhancement data; claim 1,2 - recovering one after another of the first packets, in a predefined order, in the message composition module to form a first message; claim 1 - allowing synchronization of start and end of packets in relation to their transmission in the output message).

Robins discloses a "cut-through" mode of operation in which packeting is ended and the data is transmitted before a complete packet is realized, such that portions of a packet may be transmitted while other portions are still being received (Col. 17, lines 25-45; Col 16, lines 17-64; claim 1,2 - ending packeting cycle; claim 1,2 - forwarding

first packets to message composition module regardless of state of completion of first packeting cycle; claim 1,2 – beginning start of second packeting cycle).

Robins shows that packets are then sent out another port on a Quad PHY 2 (Fig. 1; claim 1,2 – setting/outputting of the message in the electrical format of the protocol used for transmission).

Robins does not explicitly show the "cut-through" mode of operation comprising a request from the message composition module.

However, Robins does disclose that the Forwarding Engine 40 is responsible for providing instructions to the QM and MOM for packeting according to the linked-lists of packet descriptors stored in buffers of the QM. Therefore, the instruction (request) to perform packeting in accordance with "cut-through" mode would come from the Forwarding Engine 40 (message composition module; Col. 7, lines 8-13; claim 1,2 – ending packeting cycle at the request of a message composition module).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to initialize "cut-through" mode in the process and device of Robins through an instruction, or request, from the Forwarding Engine 40. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to do this because the Forwarding Engine 40 is already shown to provide instructions to the QM and MOM for packeting in a standard mode of operation, so any change to the mode of operation should be initiated from the Forwarding Engine 40.

Application/Control Number: 09/988,527 Page 5

Art Unit: 2616

4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robins in

view of Troxel et al. (US006014381A), hereafter Troxel.

In regards to Claim 3,

Robins discloses a process and device for communicating data packet flows that covers all limitations of the parent claim.

Robins does not explicitly disclose the use of the process in data acquisition and real-time processing systems for test installation of new airplanes.

The use of the packetization process shown by Robins would be beneficial for data acquisition and real-time processing systems of any type, including those used on airplanes as shown by Troxel (Col. 1; claim 3 – use of claim 1 process in data acquisition and real-time processing systems for test installation of new airplanes).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the process of Robins in data acquisition and real-time processing systems, including those used in airplanes, as shown by Troxel, so that portions of data packets can be transmitted while other portions of the packets are still being processed.

Application/Control Number: 09/988,527 Page 6

Art Unit: 2616

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 2/21/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- In the Remarks on pg. 4 of the Amendment, Applicant contends that claims 1 and 2 recite several features that are neither disclosed nor suggested by Robins. Applicant fails to specify which of the claimed features is not shown by Robins, only adding that "the inventive architecture relating to modules 10, 11, and 13-17 is not disclosed by Robins, nor are the processing steps involved in the transmission of asynchronous data packets using this architecture."
- The Examiner respectfully disagrees. The present amendments to claims 1 and 2 appear to only give different names to elements of the architecture, such as replacing "buffers" with "FIFOs", which is nevertheless disclosed by Robins as shown in the rejections above. Other changes to the claims have also been addressed in the rejections above. The Examiner recommends that Applicant provide specific arguments as to the processing steps and/or device elements believed to be lacking in the disclosure of Robins.

Application/Control Number: 09/988,527

Art Unit: 2616

Conclusion

Page 7

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory B. Sefcheck whose telephone number is 571-272-3098. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on 571-272-3174. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/988,527

Art Unit: 2616

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

GBS Cely 4-30-2007

> SEEMA S. RAO 513107 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600